



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED II		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/540,238	04/01/2000	Srinivas Chaganty	M-8403 US	9955	
75	90 06/16/2004	EXAMINER			
Avaya Inc. Docket Administrator 307 MIDDLETOWN LINCROFT ROAD #IN391			HA, LEYNNA A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LINCROFT, NJ 07738			2135	13	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

R

			1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Nation of Abandanment	09/540,238	CHAGANTY E	ΓAL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LEYNNA T. HA	2135	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate period for reply (including a total extension of times).	e of Mailing or Transmission date ne of month(s)) which expi	d), which is after the red on	·
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it			·
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rej application in condition for allowance; (2) a timel Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance wit	y filed Notice of Appeal (with app		
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not confinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111.			ply, to the non-
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.			
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue for from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PT		le, within the statutory perio	d of three months
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable), which is after the expiration of the statut Allowance (PTOL-85).			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A ba	alance of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if require	ed by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$_	
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, h	nas not been received.		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as Allowability (PTO-37).	s required by, and within the three	e-month period set in, the N	otice of
 (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	(with a Certificate of Mailin	g or Transmission dated), which is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.			
The letter of express abandonment which is signed the applicants.	by the attorney or agent of record	I, the assignee of the entire	interest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	by an attorney or agent (acting in	a representative capacity u	inder 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and In of the decision has expired and there are no allowed		d because the period for se	eking court review
7. 🔀 The reason(s) below:			
In addition to the discussion concerning the into The Application has expired and considered ab office action. Mr. Volejnicek said he never rece was sent out to the correct address.	pandoned as of June 8, 2003 b	pecause a failure to report the Final office action/Re	nd to a Final started Period
		GILBERTO BARRON ERVISORY PATENT EXAMI ECHNOLOGY CENTER 210	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to we minimize any negative effects on patent term.			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)	otice of Abandonment	Pa	art of Paper No. 13

•3		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa	arv	09/540,238	CHAGANTY ET A	AL.
Examiner-induced interview Gaining	illal y	Examiner	Art Unit	
		LEYNNA T. HA	2135	
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>Ex</u>	<u>pired</u>	
(1) <u>LEYNNA T. HA</u> .		(3)		
(2) Mr. David Volejnicek.		(4)		
Date of Interview: 10 June 2004		Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		nt's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A				
Claims discussed: N/A				
Prior art documents discussed: N/A				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	E GENEF	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The eparate re	examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since the	e substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/	Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appro	priate)





Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner placed a curteousy reminder that the application is reaching abandonment on June 8, 2004 and if a response was sent in for the Final rejection. Mr Volejnicek left a voice message that there was an IDS sent in, but did not mention anything concerning a response to the Final rejection. The Examiner called back indicating that there was a Final office action sent out on November, 12, 2003 and then a letter of Restarting Period was also sent out on December 8, 2003. Mr. Volejnicek indicated that he sent in a notice of address change. The Examiner checked within the file wrapper and confirmed with Mr.Volejnick that the Final rejection was mailed out to the correct address as indicated in the notice of address change. Mr. Volejnicek requested the cover page of the (1st) Final office action and the Notice of Restarted Period to submit for reviving the application.